



F.No.89-63/E-155562/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Lisieux College of Education, Saravanampatti, Viswasapuram, Coimbatore North, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu dated 02/03/2020 is against the Order No. SRC/NCTE/APSO4694/B.Ed./114980 dated 21/02/2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Land was taken on lease from the private party for 55 years vide lease deed dated 22.3.2010 but the same is not permissible under the NCTE Regulation. The institution submitted a photocopy of notarized copy of land use certificate dated 28.02.2008 certified by Member Secretary Coimbatore local planning authority. The land use certificate cannot be accepted on the face of the deficiency of land documents. The Institution submitted NEC in regional language. The Institution also submitted the notarized English translation. The NEC cannot be accepted on the face of deficiency of land document. The institution submitted photocopy of building plan. The building plan cannot be accepted on the face of the deficiency of land documents. The institution had submitted photocopy of building completion certificate. The building completion certificate cannot be accepted on the face of the deficiency of land documents."

AND WHEREAS No one from Lisieux College of Education, Saravanampatti, Viswasapuram, Coimbatore North, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 24/07/2020. In the appeal memoranda it was submitted that "Lisieux College of Education, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu administered by the Carmelites of Mary Immaculate Education society Saravanampatti, Coimbatore and registered under Tamilnadu Societies Registration Act. Roman catholic Fathers of the CMI congregation, Preshitha Province, Little Flower Mission Center, Coimbatore are the trustees/Members of the said society. All the Provinces of the CMI congregation are administered by the Prior General, CMI congregation, kochi and Roman catholic Fathers of the CMI congregation are liable to be transferred from one province to other province.

Land in Sf No: 48 of Saravanampatti Village belongs to Devamatha Province Trichur, Kerala State which is also a unit of the CMI congregation. It is established that the LESSOR (Rev.Fr Raphael Kannanaickal Lawful Attorney) and Rev.Fr Thomas Cheeran (LESSEE) are not Private Parties and to establish the fact beyond doubt a declaration deposed by executed by the Secretary Carmelites of Mary Immaculate Education society Saravanampatti(LESSEE) before the commissioner of OATH, Coimbatore is enclosed. The following documents to establish the fact that land is Sr. No 48 Saravanampatti village Coimbatore belongs to the Preshitha Province Coimbatore and the General power of attorney (Rev.Fr Raphael Kannanaickal CMI is not a private land lord, are submitted 1) Land deeds of land in Saravanampatti Village Coimbatore. General power of attorney registered at Sub registry Thrissur Doc no 345/book 4 /1987. Digital plan showing the boundaries spot levels, existing building and road to Lisieux College of Education, Saravanampatti, Coimbatore. Lease deed doc no 2019/2010 Sub registry Gandhipuram Coimbatore 5)Memorandum of understanding Doc NO 7457 of 2007 /bookl/dt 12-12-2007 Sub registry Gandhipuram Coimbatore 6)Copy of land use certificate. Land document copies are submitted with the reply for the deficiency mentioned against S.No 2. Photocopy of building plan attested by the notary is enclosed. Land documents are submitted with the reply for the deficiency mentioned against S. No 2. Copy of building completion certificate attested by the notary advocate is enclosed. Land document copies are submitted with the reply for the deficiency pointed out against S. No 2."

AND WHEREAS the Committee noted that neither the appellant appeared before the Committee nor online link could be established on 22/10/2020, i.e. the second opportunity granted to them. The Committee, therefore, decided to give the appellant another opportunity i.e. the third and final opportunity to present their case.

AND WHEREAS Dr. Murphy Johnson, Principal and Sh. Suresh, Adm. Officer presented online the case of appellant institution on 02/12/2020. Appellant during its online submission stated that institution continues to function from the address where it was granted recognition in the year 2006. The lease agreement registered in the year 2005 was for 50 years and is still valid. As such there is no need to submit fresh documents.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats in the year 2006 on a leased land. Appellant institution was issued revised recognition order under NCTE Regulation, 2014 and further the intake granted was reduced to 50 seats on 18/02/2016. Intake for the programme having been reduced, appellant institution was not required to increase its built up area and infrastructural facilities. As stated by appellant, the institution continues to conduct the B.Ed. programme from its old land and building.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that appellant is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, (i) the land building documents and corresponding CLU, N.E.C, Building Completion Certificate and printout of website. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during the online submission, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Lisieux College of Education, Saravanampatti, Viswasapuram, Coimbatore North, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Secretary, Lisieux College of Education, 48, Saravanampatti, Viswasapuram, Coimbatore North, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 641035.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-111/E-158594/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sri Fulsing Narayan Chavan Memorial College of Education, Hittinahalli, Sindagi now Changed Devarhipparagi, Bijapur, Karnataka dated 14/04/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO1969/B.Ed/KA/2020/5370 dated 27.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution is required to submit certified copy of registered land duly approved by the competent govt. authority. The size of multipurpose hall is not reflected in the building plan. The total built up area mentioned in building plan is insufficient as per NCTE Norms. The BCC submitted by the institution where total built up area is not adequate as per NCTE Norms. The institution has not submitted a copy of approved site plan. The institution submitted a list of faculty members (1+14) which is not in the standard format of NCTE. The institution submitted original FDRs of Rs. 7,15,257/- with maturity date 05.02.2018 & Rs. 7.26,391/- with maturity date 30.07.2018."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Kasinath Doddamani, Secretary, Sri Fulsing Narayan Chavan Memorial College of Education, Hittinahalli, Sindagi now Changed Devarhipparagi, Bijapur, Karnataka presented the case of the appellant institution on 31/08/2020. In the appeal memoranda it is submitted that "certified copy of land submitted. Multipurpose hall is in the building plan and total built-up area is 4349 sq.mt. Original copy submitted and total built up area is 4349 sq.mt. as per NCTE Norms. Submitted approved site plan. Faculty members list submitted in as per NCTE standard format." Appellant during the course of appeal hearing on 31/08/2020 submitted a written request stating that due to Covid-19 pandemic, college is presently closed, and relevant records are not accessible.

Appellant further sought another opportunity to be heard. Appeal Committee as per extant appeal rules decided to grant another (second) opportunity to appellant to present its case before Appellate Authority.

appear before Appellate Authority either in person or through online on 23/10/2020 to make final submission. Appeal Committee taking note that appellant has not appeared in person and after waiting for appellant to appear online decided as per extant appeal rules, to grant another (third & last) opportunity to the appellant to make personal presentation of its case.

and whereas Sh. Motilal R. Pujari, Administrator presented online the case of appellant institution on 02/12/2020. Appeal Committee noted that appellant had submitted (i) Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) with total built up area of 30680 sq. feet, (ii) FDRs and Form 'A', (iii) Land document with English translation thereof, (iv) Building Plan with Multipurpose Hall of 3417 sq. feet, (v) C.L.U. signed by Tehsildar and (vi) List of faculty.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noting that appellant institution is conducting B.Ed. programme since 2005-06 and was also issued revised recognition order under NCTE Regulation, 2014, decided that appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order the originals/copies of the documents submitted with its letter dated 23/11/2020. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to S.R.C. for revisiting the matter.

and whereas after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during the online submission, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to S.R.C. for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sri Fulsing Narayan Chavan Memorial College of Education, Hittinahalli, Sindagi now Changed Devarhipparagi, Bijapur, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Kesapy left

- 1. The Principal, Sri Fulsing Narayan Chavan Memorial College of Education, Hittinahalli, Sindagi now Changed Devarhipparagi, Bijapur, Karnataka 586215.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Karnataka, Bengaluru.





F.No.89-156/E-162001/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of M.A.K. Azad College of Education, Chinthapallyguda, Ramdaspally Ibrahimpatnam, Rangareddy, Telangana dated 14/08/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO0047/B.Ed/TS/2019/13902 dated 17.01.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Registrar, Osmania University, Hyderabad vide letter No. 1554-MR/H/B.Ed/2019-2020/Acad/IV-2 dated 11.12.2019, has informed that the University has discontinued the extension of affiliation from the academic year 2015-2016 in respect of the institution M.A.K. Azad College of Education, Hyderabad East Revenue Division, Chinthapally Gudalbrahimpatnam, Rangareddy Dist. – 501510, Telangana."

AND WHEREAS Mohd. Habeebuddin, Correspondent and Sh. A. Sadik, Representative, M.A.K. Azad College of Education, Chinthapallyguda, Ramdaspally Ibrahimpatnam, Rangareddy, Telangana presented online the case of the appellant institution on 23/10/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "SRC vide its order dated 17.01.2020 has withdrawn recognition observing deficiencies which were already clarified by the institution. The withdrawal order of the SRC is based on the letter of the University and SRC failed to provide an opportunity to the institution to clarify the same. Appellant institution submitted application to the SRC, NCTE for starting the B.Ed course and also submitted documents as per the NCTE SRC, NCTE after conducting the expert visit and verifying the Appellant infrastructural and instructional facilities vide its order issued LOI and directed the appellant to get the staff approval, etc. Affiliating body constituted the selection panel for the appointment of the faculties. Necessary compliance was submitted by the Appellant to the SRC requesting it to issue the recognition order. SRC after scrutiny of the documents and all relevant factors granted permission vide its order dated

Jos of

26.06.2003 for running the B.Ed. Course with annual intake of 100 students. It is relevant the Revised Regulation 2014 and the revised order of recognition vide its letter dated 12.05.2015 for 100. Petitioner also applied to the SRC NCTE for shifting of premises. SRC, NCTE thereafter decided to issue a show cause notice to the institution for noncompliance of the regulation 2014 and accordingly issued show cause notice dated 15.11.2016. No such show cause notice was served on institution. It is submitted that SRC, NCTE without verifying the documents issued show cause notice dated 15.11.2019 seeking few documents. It is relevant to state that the instant show cause also did not mention the earlier alleged show cause. Institution vide its letter dated 16.12.2019 submitted all the documents from S. No 1 to 13 to the SRC. It is submitted that since petitioner institution had applied for the shifting of the premises from the SRC, NCTE, therefore, the University did not extend the affiliation to the institution. Accordingly, University vide its letter dated 11.12.2019 informed the SRC for non-It is submitted that the SRC, NCTE vide its withdrawal order extension of affiliation. dated 17.01.2020 withdrew the recognition of the Appellant institution on the grounds without giving any opportunity to the institution. Institution is again submitting the documents before this Committee as were found under deficiencies of the SRC in its withdrawal order. It is submitted that the expert team of the NCTE visited the Appellant Institution and verified the infrastructural and instructional facilities. Thereafter, the SRC verified the visiting team report and viewed the CD and considered the documents including Building Completion Certificate, Building Plan etc. and accorded the recognition order to the Appellant Institution and the recognition won't have been withdrawn without ascertaining the proper facts."

AND WHEREAS appellant during the course of online appeal presentation on 23/10/2020 requested for another opportunity for making a full and final presentation. Appeal Committee as per extant appeal rules decided to grant another (second) opportunity to the appellant institution to present its case before Appellate Authority.

AND WHEREAS Sh. A. Sadik, Adm. Officer, presented online the case of appellant institution on 02/12/2020 and reiterated the submissions made in the Memoranda of appeal.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats from academic session 2002-2003. Revised recognition order dated 12/05/2015 which was a conditional one, was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014 for an intake of 2 units of 50 seats. Appeal Committee further noted that 2 Show Cause Notices (SCNs) were issued to appellant institution on 18/11/2016 and 15/11/2019 for seeking compliance to the terms and conditions stipulated in the revised recognition order dated 12/05/2015.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that relevant regulatory file contains letter dated 16/12/2019 with enclosures submitted by appellant institution in reply to the S.C.N. dated 15/11/2019.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 17/01/2020 is on a noval ground based on a letter dated 11/12/2019 of Osmania University, Hyderabad. It is pertinent to point out that neither there appears to be any effort made on part of SRC to examine the reply dated 16/12/2019 sent by appellant institution in response to the Show Cause Notice nor did SRC give any opportunity to the appellant institution to submit written representation on the observations of Osmania University reported to SRC vide its letter dated 11/12/2019.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after considering the reply to S.C.N. and by giving the appellant institution an opportunity to submit written representation on the observations of affiliating University.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online presentation, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after

considering the reply to S.C.N. and by giving the appellant institution an opportunity to submit written representation on the observations of affiliating University.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of M.A.K. Azad College of Education, Chinthapallyguda, Ramdaspally Ibrahimpatnam, Rangareddy, Telangana to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Secretary, M.A.K. Azad College of Education, Chinthapallyguda, 81 & 82, Ramdaspally Ibrahimpatnam, Rangareddy, Telangana 501510.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Telangana, Hyderabad.





F.No.89-214/E-13762/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Greater Noida College of Education, Knowledge Park-3, Greater Noida, Dadri, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh dated 10/10/2020 is against the Order No. NRC/NCTE/NRCAPP-2016-15064(ID No.-7765)/314th Meeting/2020/208989 dated 13.08.2020 of the Northern Regional Committee, refusing recognition for conducting for B.A. B.Ed./B.Sc. B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has not submitted the reply of Show Cause Notice dated 14.10.2019 after issue of Letter of Intent."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Rakesh Saluja, Joint Secretary and Sh. S.S. Rawat, Library Assistant, Greater Noida College of Education, Knowledge Park-3, Greater Noida, Dadri, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Institution replied to NRC, NCTE Show Cause Notice dated 14.10.2019 vide our letter no.gnce/ba.bed./1406 dated 30.10.2019 which is well within stipulated time by speed post, giving the clarifications on all 3 points raised by NRC, NCTE in SCN. We have been following with CCS University, Meerut for appointment of subject experts for selection of faculty for B.A.B.Ed. (4yrs) course, on receiving LOI dated 16.05.2019. We approached university vide our letter dated 23.05.2019 for appointing subject experts within 60 days from the issue date of LOI. We reminded university vide our letters dated 11.06.2019, 28.06.2019,11.07.2019 & 31.07.2019 for appointment of subject experts with a copy to Regional Director, NRC, NCTE. You will appreciate that it was not possible for us to appoint faculty members without university appointed subject experts. After receipt of refusal order of NRC NCTE, we approached University for appointment of subject experts vide our letter dated GNCE/2020/1464 dated

08.09.2020. The University was kind enough to issue letter no aff/7833 dated 09.10.2020 appointing subject experts for B.A. B.Ed. (4 yrs) course, copy enclosed, for your ready reference and perusal. We request you to consider our appeal and pass appropriate order for grant of recognition for B.A. B.Ed. (4yrs) course."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned refusal order dated 13/08/2020 is on the ground that "The institution has not submitted reply of Show Cause Notice dated 14/10/2019 after issue of Letter of Intent."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that after issue of L.O.I. dated 16/05/2019, appellant institution has kept NRC informed about the developments of recruitment process of faculty by endorsing copies of its letters addressed to affiliating University. Appellant had separately addressed a letter dated 11/07/2019 to NRC seeking additional time for selection of faculty. Appellant with its appeal memoranda further submitted copy of its letter dated 30/10/2019 which was sent in reply to S.C.N. dated 14/10/2019. Copy of Speed Post receipt dated 31/10/2019 is also submitted by appellant as evidence of having submitted a reply.

AND WHEREAS keeping in view that appellant has submitted reply explaining all the three points raised in the Show Cause Notice dated 14/10/2019, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is required to submit to N.R.C. copy of its letter dated 30/10/2019 within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

and whereas after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to NRC for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is required to submit to N.R.C. copy of its letter dated 30/10/2019 within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Greater Noida College of Education, Knowledge Park-3, Greater Noida, Dadri, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh to the NRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Jt. Secretary, Greater Noida College of Education, 39A, Knowledge Park-3, Alpha-1, Greater Noida, Dadri, Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh 201306.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Northern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.





F.No.89-215/E-166666/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of S.K. Roy Memorial Institute of Education, Rongpur Part-III, B.Ed. College Road, Katlicherra, Hailakandi, Assam dated 07/10/2020 is against the Order No. ER-282.24/APE00850/B.Ed./2020/62923 dated 14.08.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "List of teaching faculty list is not in the NCTE prescribed proforma duly approved by the concerned affiliating body. Blue print of Building Plan (BP) mentioning the details of plot No./khasra No., total land area, total built up area etc. duly approved by the Govt. Engineer is not submitted. Validity of photocopy FDRs of Rs.5 lakh and Rs.3 lakh has expired on 24.07.2019. Renewed FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh and Rs.7 lakh have not been submitted as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. Requisite information of the institutional website has not updated as per clause 7(14)(i) of NCTE Regulation, 2014."

AND WHEREAS Sh. D. Dey, Secretary, S.K. Roy Memorial Institute of Education, Rongpur Part-III, B.Ed. College Road, Katlicherra, Hailakandi, Assam presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we have all original documents as per NCTE Norms to satisfy the authority."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme from the academic session 2009-10 and revised recognition order dated 22/05/2015 was also issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee further noted that appellant institution has submitted with its appeal memoranda originals / copies of (i) Blue Print of approved Building Plan, (ii) Renewed FDRs, (iii) Printout of Website, (iv) Building Completion Certificate and (v) List of faculty approved by Assam University. Appellant institution is required to resubmit originals /

zerox copies of all these documents to ERC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of S.K. Roy Memorial Institute of Education, Rongpur Part-III, B.Ed. College Road, Katlicherra, Hailakandi, Assam to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Secretary, S.K. Roy Memorial Institute of Education, Rongpur Part-III, B.Ed. College Road, Katlicherra, Hailakandi, Assam 788161.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Assam, Dispur.





F.No.89-216/E-166820/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Velammal College of Education, H. Doddampatti, Salem Main Road Harur, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu dated 22/09/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO9004/B.Ed/TN/2020 dated 27.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Building plan is not approved by the competent authority. The size of M.P. Hall and classrooms mention in the Building Plan are less than the requirement of NCTE Regulations. The institution did not submit the original FDRs. The institution did not submit the details of Managing Trust/Society. The institute has not uploaded requisite information on website as required under NCTE Regulation. The nature of land as per NEC is "Agricultural Land". The Principal namely Ragothaman V. and faculties namely Anbazhagan S., Arivalagan M., Chitra D. and Jayakumar P. is not qualified. The institute submitted a copy of LUC dt. 25.12.2019, but the same is issued on the letter head of Additional Public Prosecutor cum Additional Govt. Pleader, hence the institute had failed to submit LUC issued by competent authority. The institute did not submit originally certified copies of Sale Deeds."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Hariharan, Correspondent and Sh. Natrajan, A.O., Velammal College of Education, H. Doddampatti, Salem Main Road Harur, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that we had submitted and fresh approved plan. We had submitted approved plan. The required FDRs are submitted once again."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme in the year 2009 and a provisional revised recognition order was issued on 30/04/2015 for an intake of 100 seats (2 units of 50 seats each).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that subsequent to the issue of provisional revised recognition order (PRRO) dated 30/04/2015, two Show Cause Notices (SCNs) dated 18/02/2019 and 09/12/2019 seeking compliance of the terms and conditions of P.R.R.O, were issued and the appellant institution submitted its compliance to SRC by a letter dated 06/01/2020.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution continues to conduct B.Ed. programme from the same land and building since 2009 and with its appeal memoranda has enclosed (i) Approved Building Plan, (ii) Land Use Certificate issued by Tehsildar, (iii) Approved Building Completion Certificate, (iv) FDRs with Form 'A', (v) Trust Deed and (vi) copy of sale deed documents and (vii) Approval letter and list of faculty signed by Registrar, Tamilnadu Teacher Education University.

AND WHEREAS appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order originals of Certified copy of land document, (ii) Building Completion Certificate, (iii) L.U.C., (iv) Non Encumbrance Certificate. Copies of building plan are available on regulatory file. On the basis of documents which are required to be submitted by appellant within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, SRC is required to revisit the matter. Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

and whereas after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Velammal College of Education, H. Doddampatti, Salem Main Road Harur, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Secretary, Velammal College of Education, H. Doddampatti, Salem Main Road Harur, Pappireddipatti, Dharmapuri, Tamil Nadu 639903.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-217/E-166980/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Late Khatija College of Education & Habib Educational & Welfare Society, Deoghar, Khanivali, Viakudus, Wada, Thane, Maharashtra dated 20/12/2019 is against the Order No. WRC/APW06648/123814/311th/2019/206754 dated 11.10.2019 of the Western Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution vide letter dated 03.04.2017. The summary of the case submitted reveals that the institution has not submitted reply of the Show Cause Notice."

AND WHEREAS Sh. Zaheer Khan, Vice President and Sh. Parkash Mishra, Representative, Late Khatija College of Education & Habib Educational & Welfare Society, Deoghar, Khanivali, Viakudus, Wada, Thane, Maharashtra presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Appellant did not receive S.C.N. and withdrawal order was also received very late. Staff profile, affiliation orders, C.L.U. N.E.C., Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate are submitted."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that the Show Cause Notice (S.C.N.) dated 03/04/2017 issued to appellant institution by WRC was returned by postal authority undelivered. Appellant stated that there was a change in the district name which is now known as Palghar. This may the reason why the S.C.N. could not have been delivered to appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of the documents which it was required to submit in response to the S.C.N. Appeal Committee decided that appellant institution is required to submit to WRC within 15 days of the

issue of Appeal order originals of (i) faculty list, (ii) approval letter of University, (iii) FDRs with Form 'A', (iv) Building Completion Certificate, (v) Notarised C.L.U., (vi) Non-Encumbrance Certificate, (vii) Printout of website and other supporting documents which were required to be submitted as per terms and conditions of revised recognition order dated 28/05/2015. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to WRC to revisit the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to WRC to revisit the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Late Khatija College of Education & Habib Educational & Welfare Society, Deoghar, Khanivali, Viakudus, Wada, Thane, Maharashtra to the WRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa) Member Secretary

Kerry Refe

- 1. The President, Late Khatija College of Education & Habib Educational & Welfare Society, Deoghar, Khanivali, Viakudus, Wada, Thane, Maharashtra 401204.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Western Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Maharashtra, Mumbai.





F.No.89-218/E-166972/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Magadh Teachers Training College (Co-Ed) Village -Resi, Rampur, Professor Colony, Gaya Sadar, Gaya, Bihar dated 22/04/2020 is against the Order No. ERC/279.16/APE00422/B.Ed./2019/62453 dated 28.02.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "photocopy of Building Plan (BP) is not legible and not approved by the competent Govt. Engineer. Total land area and built up area mentioned in the Building Completion Certificate (BCC) is 32 decimal and 12400 sq. ft., which is less than required as per NCTE Regulation, 2014. Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh & 7 lakh has not submitted as per NCTE Regulation. 2014."

AND WHEREAS the College representative of Magadh Teachers Training College (Co-Ed) Village - Resi, Rampur, Professor Colony, Gaya Sadar, Gaya, Bihar presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Legible copy of building plan approved by the competent govt. engineer has already has been sent on 3-03-2020. Building completion certificate duly approved by the Competent Govt. Engineer was sent by our letter no. 133 dated 19-10-2019 in which built up area is 2013.54 sq.m., which is according to NCTE Norms. We have replied parawise in our letter dt. 3-03-2020. It is stated that at the time of first recognition on 30-04-2008 land area was not specified. Regarding land area, NCTE Norms 2014 do not apply to existing institution. The institution had submitted original FDRs of Rs. 5 Lakh + 3 Lakh in joint mode on 26-07-2014. requested to return the same on 14-06-2019 for renewal but was not returned. Hence bank automatically renewed it amounting to Rs. 12,48,408/-. Photocopy of FDRs of Rs. 4 Lakh was also sent

to ERC in the year 2018 which was in single mode. Thus, till date total amount in joint mode is Rs. 24,48,408/- excluding Rs. 4 Lakh. However new FDRs of Rs. 7 + 5 Lakh have been prepared in joint mode and sent by Indian Over Seas Bank on 16-03-2020 to ERC by Email (FDRs 144904000002756 & 144904000002757)."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. programme in the year 2008 with an intake of 100 seats. Further a revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that as per Clause 8 (11) of NCTE Regulation, 2014, "the revised land area related norms shall not be applicable to the existing institutions, but the required built up area shall have to be increased by existing institutions to conform to the revised norms. Institutions not having land area as per the revised norms, shall not be allowed to expand by way of additional programme or additional intake."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee, considering the provision of Clause 8 (11) of NCTE Regulation, 2014 mentioned above decided that the ground of withdrawal on account of required land area is not justified. Appellant with its appeal memoranda has submitted (i) copy of Building Completion Certificate issued by Competent Authority for a built up area of 2013.54 sq. meters, (ii) FDRs and Form 'A'. Appellant is required to submit to ERC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order original Building Completion Certificate and FDRs with Form 'A'. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Magadh Teachers Training College (Co-Ed) Village - Resi, Rampur, Professor Colony, Gaya Sadar, Gaya, Bihar to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Secretary, Magadh Teachers Training College (Co-Ed) Village Resi, 4824, Rampur, Professor Colony, Gaya Sadar, Gaya, Bihar 823001.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar 751012.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Bihar, Patna.





F.No.89-219/E-166979/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION

G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of K. Indira College of Education, Thaluthalaimedu, Gangaikondacholapuram, Chennai Kumbakonam Highway, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur. Tamil Nadu dated 06/10/2020 is against the Order SRO/NCTE/APSO8076/TN/B.Ed./2020/117479 dated 01.09.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Institution has not submitted original blue-prints of Building Plan. However, the institution has submitted photocopy of Building Plan which is not readable and the Khasra numbers, name of institution etc. are not mentioned over it. The institution has submitted NEC in Regional Language. Notarised English translated copy of the same is not submitted by the institution. The nature of land is mentioned as Agricultural Land. The institution has not submitted latest staff list duly approved by the Registrar of Affiliating University."

AND WHEREAS Sh. K. Ayyappan, Correspondent, K. Indira College of Education, Thaluthalaimedu, Gangaikondacholapuram, Chennai Kumbakonam Highway, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "Copy of blueprints of approved building plan was submitted. Now we submit the original blueprint of approved Building Plan. The non-encumbrance issued by the State Government is in Regional Language (i.e. Tamil). Now we submit the translation in English of Non-encumbrance Certificate with land use classification as plot (non-agri) residential class i type i. We have already submitted the latest staff list duly approved by the Registrar of affiliating University (i.e. Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai, Tamilnadu) on 20/5/2019 and 05/06/2020. Now we submit the latest staff list duly approved by the Registrar of affiliating University. (vide approval order no. TNTEU/QZ/B.Ed/10109/2016-17/963 dated 30/06/2016."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised for conducting B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats in the year 2008 and revised recognition order dated 01/05/2015 was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014.

and whereas Appeal Committee noted that appellant alongwith its appeal memoranda had submitted blue print of building plan indicating the Survey number 271/7 B – 2. Copy of Building Completion Certificate (B.C.C.) issued by Engineer of Panchayat Union indicates that land bearing number 27/7B, 7C, 7D, 7E, 7F, 7G, 7H, 7I, 7J, 7K, 7L have been clubbed as 271/7B-2. Most importantly the built up area is 3252 sq. meter. Appellant has also submitted (i) approved list of faculty, (ii) C.L.U., (iii) Building Completion Certificate, (iv) Building Plan which were reported deficient and on grounds of which recognition was withdrawn. Appellant institution is required to submit to SRC, within 15 days of the issue of appeal order, a complete set of documents which it submitted at the time of appeal hearing on 02/12/2020. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of K. Indira College of Education, Thaluthalaimedu, Gangaikondacholapuram, Chennai Kumbakonam Highway, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Loap They

1. The Correspondent, K. Indira College of Education, Thaluthalaimedu, 271/7B2, Gangaikondacholapuram Post 3/126 Chennai Kumbakonam Highway, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Perambalur, Tamil Nadu – 612901.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamil Nadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-220/E-167176/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Bhimpur Mohanananda College of Education, Bhimpur, Nadia, West Bengal dated 10/10/2020 is against the Order No. ER-274.14.22/ERCAPP140/B.Ed./2019/61288 dated 20.08.2019 of the Eastern Regional Committee, reducing the intake from 100 to 50 seats from academic session 2020-21 for conducting of B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "faculty list comprises 1+12 instead of 1+15 as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. Faculty at sl. No. 10 & 13 of the list do not possess NET/Ph.D. qualification as per Gazette Notification dated 09.07.2017."

AND WHEREAS Sh. B. Hassan, Trustee and Sh. Alok Biswas, Secretary, Bhimpur Mohanananda College of Education, Bhimpur, Nadia, West Bengal presented the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "we had submitted a reived list of faculty approved by affiliating University to ERC by Speed Post on 16/01/2020. Kindly allow us 100 intake from academic session 2020-21."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted the submissions made by appellant with regard to a revised list of faculty approved on 30/12/2019 by the West Bengal University of Teacher Training Education Planning and Administration. The above list was forwarded through Speed Post by appellant institution to ERC on 16/01/2020. As the above list of faculty approved by affiliating University on 30/12/2019 is not found available on the regulatory file, Appellant is required to resubmit this list to ERC within 15 days of the issue of Appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits to them copy of the faculty list approved on 30/12/2019.

and whereas after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution submits to them copy of the faculty list approved on 30/12/2019.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Bhimpur Mohanananda College of Education, Bhimpur, Nadia, West Bengal to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

1. The President, Bhimpur Mohanananda College of Education, Bhimpur, Nadia, West Bengal – 741167.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri

Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of West Bengal, Kolkata.





F.No.89-221/E-167263/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of R.S. Teachers Training College, Katras Bazar, Baghmara, Dhanbad, Jharkhand dated 14/10/2020 is against the Order No. ER-283.26/ERCAPP1178/B.Ed./2020/62983 dated 07.09.2020 of the Eastern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "there is a mismatch between faculty list submitted by the institution and details of the same uploaded in the institutional website. Certified copy of the land document has not submitted. Original list of teaching faculty duly approved by the concerned affiliating body has not been submitted. Validity of the Fire Safety Certificate expired on 23.03.2018."

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. K. Saha, Secretary, R.S. Teachers Training College, Katras Bazar, Baghmara, Dhanbad, Jharkhand presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "There is no substantial mismatch between the faculty list submitted by the institution and the details uploaded in website of the institution. There are some inadvertent typographical mistakes which were rectified by the institution. As such the same does not affect the merit of the recognition. It is wrongly alleged that certified copy of the land document has not been submitted by the institution as because initially at the time of the application dated 30.09.2011 for B.Ed. course the document related with land was submitted and further by letter no. RSTTC/2016/25 dated 11.06.2016 certified copy of the land document was again submitted. However, before getting the show cause notice vide letter no. ER-283.26(ERCAPP1178)/B.Ed./2020/62983 dated 07.09.2020 the institution by way of abundant caution again submitted certified copy of the land document vide letter no. RSTTC/2020/65 dated 14.09.2020. Original list of teaching faculties duly approved by the concerned affiliating body University/Registrar dated

07.07.2017 was submitted to your office vide letter no. RSTTC/2017/42 dated 08.07.2017. Teaching faculty list dated 16.11.2018 duly approved by the concerned affiliating body was submitted to your office vide letter no. RSTTC/2018/56 dated 28.11.2018 and again the institution has submitted vide letter no. RSTTC/2020/65 dated 14.09.2020. No Fire safety certificate was required nor it was ever demanded by the ERC, NCTE, Bhubaneswar for B.Ed. course. Whereas, certified copy of the Fire safety certificate duly signed by the Government authority was submitted in compliance of the show cause notice vide Letter no. ERC/279.40(ERCAPP1178)/B.Ed./2020/62597 dated 05.03.2020. A fresh application for fire safety certificate applied online by the institution on 16.09.2020 which is pending due to Corona, Pandemic situation of Covid-19 and lockdown. For that, order no. F.No.ER-283.26/ERCAPP1178/B.Ed./2020/62983 dated 07.09.2020 is premature, as because, a show cause notice was issued to the institution vide letter no. ERC/282.44/ERCAPP1178/B.Ed./2020/62876 dated 11.08.2020 by office of the ERC, NCTE, Bhubaneswar, to submit its reply up to 31.08.2020. Reply against the notice made within reasonable time and sent by speed post vide letter no. RSTTC/2020/63 dated 29.08.2020, but prior to 31.08.2020, the impugned pre-mature order was passed in 283rd meeting held on dated 24th & 25th August 2020."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was granted recognition to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats from academic session 2014-15. Subsequently, a revised recognition order dated 31/05/2015 was issued under NCTE Regulation, 2014. Appeal Committee noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 06/03/2020 was issued to appellant institution seeking (i) certified copy of land documents, (ii) Approved list of faculty, (iii) FDRs, (iv) Fire Safety Certificate, (v) Information contained in the institutional website. Appeal Committee noted that another S.C.N. dated 11/08/2020 was issued to appellant institution adding two more grounds for seeking i.e. (v) Faculty for 2018-19 & 2019-20 and (vi) Proof of disbursement of salary for all staff (teaching & non teaching). Appellant institution was required to submit reply to ERC by 31/08/2020 whereas S.C.N. is observed to be signed by Regional Director on 11/08/2020 and allowing time of 21 days.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted from the regulatory file that appellant institution had submitted reply dated 29/08/2020 to the S.C.N. dated 11/08/2020 which is seen received in the office of ERC on 07/09/2020. Appeal Committee further observed that ERC in its 283rd Meeting held on 24-25 August, 2020 took a decision to withdraw the recognition even without waiting for the expiry of time allowed to appellant institution to submit its representation.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee decided that decision of ERC was taken in haste without allowing reasonable time and opportunities to appellant institution to submit its representation. Since reply dated 29/08/2020 and also the subsequent communication dated 14/09/2020 of the appellant institution are available on the regulatory file, Appeal Committee decided to remand back the case to ERC to revisit the matter for issue of revised speaking order on virtual merits of the case.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during the online hearing, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to ERC to revisit the matter for issue of revised speaking order on virtual merits of the case.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of R.S. Teachers Training College, Katras Bazar, Baghmara, Dhanbad, Jharkhand to the ERC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

^{1.} The Secretary, R.S. Teachers Training College, Katras Bazar, Street No. 239, Baghmara, Dhanbad, Jharkhand – 828114.

^{2.} The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

^{3.} Regional Director, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Neelkanth Nagar, Nayapalli, Bhubaneshwar - 751012.

^{4.} The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.





F.No.89-222/E-167244/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kongunadu College of Education for Women, Mamangam, Jagir Ammapalayam, Bangalore Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu dated 30/09/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO7340/B.Ed/2020/16547 dated 04.08.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "Submitted NEC is in regional language. The institution is required to submit latest NEC issued by the competent authority; The institution has submitted photocopy of BCC which is not in prescribed format as per NCTE Guidelines. The BCC submitted by the institution was not approved by the competent authority; The institution has submitted Building Plan which is not approved by the competent authority. The Multipurpose hall not reflecting in the Building Plan; The institution has submitted Site Plan which is not legible and not approved by the competent authority; The faculty namely, P. Sakuntala was not qualified as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution has not appointed sufficient staff even for 1 basic unit of B.Ed. course as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution has not submitted FDR of Rs. 5+7 Lakh as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The institution submitted FDRs of Rs. 8 Lakh only."

AND WHEREAS Sh. K. Jagannathan, A.O., Kongunadu College of Education for Women, Mamangam, Jagir Ammapalayam, Bangalore Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "Now we are having English version of notarized latest NEC issued by the competent authority. Notarized latest NEC English version enclosed. We are having prescribed format of BCC as per NCTE Norms. Also, it is approved by competent Government authority. BCC enclosed. We are having approved Building Plan which is approved by the competent authority. The multi-purpose hall is reflecting clearly in the Building Plan. Additionally, we are having approved site plan is legible. Approved

Building Plan & approved Site Plan enclosed. We are having necessary staff list, which is approved by the Registrar, TNTEU, Chennai, Tamilnadu as per the NCTE Norms for one basic unit (50 students). Staff approval list enclosed. We are having FDR 5+3+4 12 lakhs deposited in Canara Bank, Suramangalam, Salem in the joint account of Regional Director, NCTE and Kongunaadu College of Education for Women, form a (Canara Bank) & attested copy of FDR enclosed. We fulfil the required NCTE Regulations as your order mentioned."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats in the year 2007. Subsequently a revised recognition order was issued for an intake of 2 units of 50 seats each. Appellant institution subsequent to the issue of revised recognition order submitted written requests to SRC for reduction in the intake from 2 units to 1 unit.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution with its appeal memoranda had submitted copies of (i) English version of N.E.C., (ii) Building Completion Certificate with built up area of 1828 sq. meters, (iii) Building Plan indicating a Multipurpose Hall, (iv) list of faculty approved on 23/09/2020 and (v) FDRs.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee considering that appellant institution with reduction of intake from 2 units to 1 unit, will not be required to add to the built up area and appoint additional faculty, decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order copies of approved (i) Building Plan, (ii) Building Completion Certificate, (iii) Faculty and English version of Non-Encumbrance Certificate and the revalidated FDRs.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter. Appellant institution is required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal

order copies of approved (i) Building Plan, (ii) Building Completion Certificate, (iii) Faculty and English version of Non-Encumbrance Certificate and the revalidated FDRs.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kongunadu College of Education for Women, Mamangam, Jagir Ammapalayam, Bangalore Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa) Member Secretary

Vegap I left

1. The Chairman, Kongunadu College of Education for Women, Mamangam, Jagir Ammapalayam, 189/4, Bangalore Main Road, Salem, Tamil Nadu – 636302.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-223/E-167242/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Sree College of Education, A.G. Palayam, Alampalayam, Vennandur Main Road, Rasipuram, Namakkal, Tamilnadu dated 16/04/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/SRCAPP1899/B.Ed/TN/2020/115008-115014 dated 21.02.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "The institution has submitted photocopy of Building Plan which is not approved by the competent authority and hence not legible. The institution has submitted photocopy of BCC which is not approved by the competent authority. The percentage of M.Ed. & B.Ed. have not been mentioned in the proforma signed by the Registrar in respect of faculties namely Vignesh. S. & Krishnaveni. T. The institution has submitted notarized photocopy of Sale Deed Dt.15.12.2008. The institute did not submit originally certified copy of land documents. The institution has submitted photocopy of NEC in regional language."

AND WHEREAS Sh. P. Chandra Mohan, Chairman, Sree College of Education, A.G. Palayam, Alampalayam, Vennandur Main Road, Rasipuram, Namakkal, Tamilnadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "I sent proper approved document with competent authority. I sent BCC as the new format prescribed by NCTE with competent authority approval. I sent correct mark percentage as approval copy given by Registrar. I sent original certified copy of Land document. I sent NEC in English."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution was recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats in 2014 and subsequently a

revised recognition order was issued on 25/05/2015 with an annual intake of 100 seats (2 units of 50 each). Appeal Committee noted that for seeking compliance of the Terms and Conditions of revised recognition order a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 24/10/2019 was issued to the appellant institution.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that impugned order of withdrawal dated 21/02/2020 was on grounds of failure of appellant to submit :- (i) approved and legible copy of building plan, (ii) approved Building Completion Certificate, (iii) Percentage of marks not mentioned in respect of faculty namely Vignesh S and Krishnaveni T., (iv) originally certified copy of land deed (v) English version of Non Encumbrance Certificate.

and whereas Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution with its appeal memoranda has submitted copies of required documents rectifying the deficiencies many of which virtually did not exist at all as legible blue print of building plan and Building Completion Certificate already exist on the regulatory file. Appellant is however, required to submit to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal orders, (i) Original of the certified copy of land document, (ii) Staff profile indicating percentage of marks in respect of Vignesh S and Krishnaveni T., (iii) English version of Non Encumbrance Certificate. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after required documents are submitted by the appellant institution within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and oral arguments advanced during the online presentation of appeal, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after required documents are submitted by the appellant institution within 15 days of the issue of appeal order.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Sree College of Education, A.G. Palayam, Alampalayam, Vennandur Main Road, Rasipuram, Namakkal, Tamilnadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Chairman, Sree College of Education, A.G. Palayam, 31/3, Alampalayam, Vennandur Main Road, Rasipuram, Namakkal, Tamilnadu 636203.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-224/E-167302/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu dated 25/09/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO4013/TN/B.Ed./2020/116867 dated 17.08.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for B.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has submitted building plan which is not approved by the competent authority. Total built up area, earmarking is not reflecting in building plan. The BCC submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority. The NEC submitted by the institution is in regional language. The institution has not submitted Form a/original FDRs in M.Ed. course. The institution has appointed staff in M.Ed. Only 6 Assistant Professor against the requirement of 1+9 in B.Ed. The Institution has not appointed faculty for Fine Arts. The faculty namely Shanthakumari P., Saraswathi S. are not qualified. The institution has not submitted certified copy of land documents. The institution submitted sale deed of SY No.305/1 & 303/2 whereas the LUC bears 4 others S.F. Nos. The size of the classrooms and multipurpose hall are less than the requirement of NCTE Regulation."

AND WHEREAS the College representative of Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "We have submitted approved building plan, which has been approved by the competent authority for a total build area is 4431.07 sq. mt. and also mentioned the earmarked built up area as required by the NCTE guidelines. Building Completion Certificate approved by the approved PWD Engineer is submitted. We have submitted the NEC in regional language & and also the legally translated copy from Notary public lawyer. We have both form A for B.Ed. & M.Ed. course and original FDRs were submitted.

tos of the

to NCTE and it is available with NCTE. Our institution has appointed 1+15 teaching faculty members (Assistant Professor) for B.Ed. and also faculty for fine Arts as per NCTE guideline. We have also submitted the certified copy land documents which is certified by SRO office. We have land measuring 11.14 acres in the name of trust but College of Education Building exclusively constructed in Sy No.305/1 & 303/2 from 4431.07 sq. mt. area the building for B.Ed. & M.Ed., College of Education. Classrooms are spacious, fully ventilated as per Norms and Standards of NCTE. Infrastructure facilities and labs with modern equipment's, ever growing Library with the additions in every subject, Language Lab with the State of the Art gadgets are the special features of our institutions. One class room is of 66 sq. mt. and multi propose hall of 2200 sq. ft. which is also mentioned in earmarking of approved building plan, our institution was already accredited by NAC in 2016, after NAC Committee visit and thorough verification of documents in 2016 NAC reorganized our institution."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct B.Ed. programme with an intake of 100 seats since 2005 and a provisional Revised Recognition order (P.R.R.O) dated 26/05/2015 was issued for an intake of 100 seats. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution by its letter dated 27/10/2015 had submitted to SRC copies of (i) staff approval, sale deed for land, Building Plan, Appeal Committee further Building Completion Certificate, C.L.U., FDR receipt etc. noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 31/12/2019 was issued to appellant institution seeking most of the documents which the appellant had already submitted in compliance to the revised recognition order by its letter dated 27/10/2015.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that after getting the S.C.N. dated 31/12/2019 appellant institution ought the have replied to the S.C.N. by resubmitting the required documents with latest approved list, Non Encumbrance Certificate and FDRs Appellant, of late, has submitted with its appeal memoranda copies of (i) List of etc. faculty approved by TNTEU, (ii) Non Encumbrance Certificate (English Translation), (iii) FDRs, (iv) Certificate Copy of land documents, (v) Land Use Certificate, (vi) Building Plan, (vii) Building Completion Certificate. Appellant institution is required to submit original to the complete to

and zerox copies of the required documents to SRC within 15 days of the issue of appeal order. Appeal Committee further decided to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter after the appellant institution has submitted copies of the required documents.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced during the online submission, Appeal Committee concluded to remand back the case to SRC for revisiting the matter.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby remands back the case of Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

- 1. The Admn. Officer, Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, 305/1, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu 639002.
- 2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
- 3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.
- 4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.





F.No.89-225/E-167302/2020 Appeal/29th Mtg.-2020/2nd December, 2020 NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION G-7, Sector-10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110 075

Date: 16/12/2020

ORDER

WHEREAS the appeal of Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu dated 17/10/2020 is against the Order No. SRO/NCTE/APSO9383/TN/M.Ed./2020/116874 dated 18.08.2020 of the Southern Regional Committee, withdrawing recognition for conducting for M.Ed. Course on the grounds that "the institution has submitted Building Plan which is not approved by the competent authority. Total built up area, earmarking is not reflecting in Building Plan. The BCC submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority. The NEC submitted by the institution is in regional language. The institution has not submitted Form A/original FDRs in M.Ed. course. The institution has appointed staff in M.Ed. Only 6 Assistant Professor against the requirement of 1+9 in B.Ed. The Institution has not appointed faculty for Fine Arts. The faculty namely Shanthakumari P., Saraswathi S. are not qualified. The institution has not submitted certified copy of land documents. The institution submitted sale deed of SY No.305/1 & 303/2 whereas the LUC bears 4 others S.F. Nos. The size of the classrooms and Multipurpose Hall are less than the requirement of NCTE Regulations."

AND WHEREAS the College representative of Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu presented online the case of the appellant institution on 02/12/2020. In the appeal and during online presentation it was submitted that "We have submitted approved building plan, which is approved by the competent authority are total build area is 4431.07 sq. mt. and also it is already mention the earmarking in the build plan as requested by the NCTE guidelines. BCC - Building Completion Certificate approved by the approved PWD Engineer is submitted. We have submitted the NEC in regional language & and also the legally translated copy from Notary public lawyer. We have both Form A for B.Ed. & M.Ed. course and original FDR

were submitted to NCTE and it is available with NCTE. Our institution has appointed teaching faculty for M.Ed.. We don't lack teaching faculty in our institution. We have also submitted the certified copy land document which is certified by SRO office. We have land measuring 11.14 acres in the name of Trust but College of Education building exclusively constructed in Sy No.305/1 & 303/2 from 4431.07 sq. mt. area the building for B.Ed. & M.Ed. College of Education. Classrooms are spacious, fully ventilated as per Norms and Standards of NCTE. Infrastructure facilities and labs with modern equipment's, ever growing Library with the additions in every subject, Language Lab with the State of the Art gadgets are the special features of our institutions. One class room is of 66 sq. mt. and multi propose hall of 2200 sq. ft. which is also mentioned in earmarking of approved Building Plan, our institution was already accredited by NAC in 2016, after NAC Committee visit and thorough verification of documents in 2016 NAC reorganized our institution."

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution is recognised to conduct M.Ed. programme with an intake of 50 seats since 2010 and a provisional Revised Recognition order (P.R.R.O) dated 22/05/2015 was issued for an intake of 50 seats. Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution by its letter dated 27/10/2015 had submitted to SRC copies of (i) staff approval, sale deed for land, Building Plan, Building Completion Certificate, C.L.U., FDR receipt etc. This letter with enclosures is found placed in the regulatory file pertaining to B.Ed. programme. Appeal Committee further noted that a Show Cause Notice (SCN) dated 18/11/2019 was issued to appellant institution seeking most of the documents which the appellant had already submitted in compliance to the revised recognition order by its letter dated 27/10/2015 (placed in the B.Ed. file).

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee noted that appellant institution had replied to the S.C.N. by resubmitting the required documents with latest approved list, Non Encumbrance Certificate and FDRs etc. Appellant of late has submitted with its appeal memoranda copies of (i) List of faculty approved by TNTEU, (ii) Non Encumbrance Certificate (English Translation), (iii) FDRs, (iv) Certificate Copy of land documents, (v)

Land Use Certificate, (vi) Building Plan, (vii) Building Completion Certificate. Appeal Committee perused the list of faculty furnished by appellant institution in response to the S.C.N. and noted that it does not contain the names of faculty of the rank of Professors and Associate Professors.

AND WHEREAS Appeal Committee further noted that appellant after the appeal hearing on 02/12/2020 submitted online the particulars of 2 Professors, 2 Associate Professors and 5 Assistant Professors. Out of the 5 Assistant Professors 2 names i.e. Dr. Rama Prabha and Dr. C. Sheela are shown for courses B.Ed. & M.Ed. This means that appellant institution is still not having adequate faculty earmarked for M.Ed. programme. The dates of appointment of Professors and Associate Professors is also not legible raising apprehension that faculty of the rank of Professors and Associate Professor were not available with the appellant institution in previous years and faculty shown for the B.Ed. course was shown for M.Ed. programme for meeting the number of faculty. Appeal Committee, therefore, decided to confirm the withdrawal order dated 18/08/2020 in respect of M.Ed. programme.

AND WHEREAS after perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record and arguments advanced online, Appeal Committee concluded to confirm the withdrawal order dated 18/08/2020 in respect of M.Ed. programme.

NOW THEREFORE, the Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against.

The above decision is being communicated on behalf of Appeal Committee.

(Mrs. Kesang Yangzom Sherpa)
Member Secretary

Lesap' lege

1. The Admn. Officer, Kalaiammal College of Education, Pavithram, 305/1, Aravakurichi, Karur, Tamil Nadu – 639002.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Education, Department of School Education & Literacy, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

3. Regional Director, Southern Regional Committee, Plot No. G-7, Sector – 10, Dwarka, New Delhi -110075.

4. The Secretary, Education (looking after Teacher Education) Government of Tamilnadu, Chennai.